

United States Patent and Trademark Office

h

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,416	02/11/2004	Yannick Teglia	S1022.81102US00	2402
23628	23628 7590 10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			DARE, RYAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/776,416	TEGLIA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Ryan Dare	2186			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2004.				
, 	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· — · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
AMaahaaaaMah					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/05/04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al., US Patent 5,734,855, in view of Philipp, DE19936890.
- 4. With respect to claim 1, Sato et al. teach an integrated circuit implementing at least one operator, and functionally comprising upstream and downstream of the operator at least one source register and at least one destination register, respectively,

Art Unit: 2186

at least one temporary register to store a content of the source register or a result of the operator before transfer to the destination register, in col. 2, lines 24-57. However, Sato et al. fails to disclose loading a random number into the destination register. Philipp teaches that in order to keep a secret quantity secret from cryptanalysis or observation, to load a random or pseudo random number at least into the destination register, in the Abstract, thus curing the deficiencies of Sato et al.

- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Sato and Philipp before him at the time the invention was made to modify the memory system of Sato with the memory system of Philipp in order to load a random number into the resultant register in order to thwart a potential enemy eavesdropping on the register through cryptanalysis by observation of the register, as taught by Philipp in the abstract.
- 6. With respect to claim 2, Philipp teaches the circuit of claim 1, wherein said random number is loaded into the destination register before transfer of a result of the operator to this register, in the abstract.
- 7. With respect to claim 3, Philipp teaches the circuit of claim 1, further comprising means for loading the temporary register with a random quantity, in the abstract. Since the temporary register stores the result of the operation, as does the destination register, it would be obvious to write the secret quantity into the temporary register as well, for the reasons listed above in the rejection of claim 1.
- 8. With respect to claim 4, Philipp teaches an antifraud method comprising randomizing a content of a destination register of a result of an operator involving at

Art Unit: 2186

least one secret quantity, and inputting a random quantity in the destination register before each loading of a result therein, in the Abstract. Philipp fails to teach loading the result of the operator being into a temporary register before loading into the destination register, in col. 2, lines 24-57, thus curing the deficiencies of Philipp.

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Sato and Philipp before him at the time the invention was made to modify the memory system of Sato with the memory system of Philipp in order to load a random number into the resultant register in order to thwart a potential enemy eavesdropping on the register through cryptanalysis by observation of the register, as taught by Philipp in the abstract.
- 10. With respect to claim 5, Philipp teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the integrated circuit comprises at least one operator involving at least one secret quantity, and means for loading a random or pseudo-random number at least into the destination register, in the Abstract. Sato teaches functionally comprising upstream and downstream of the operator at least one source register and at least one destination register, in col. 2, lines 24-57.
- 11. With respect to claim 6, Sato teaches an integrated circuit comprising: an operator; a destination register coupled to receive a result of the operation, in col. 2, lines 24-57. Philipp teaches a control circuit configured to load a random or pseudorandom number into the destination register before transfer of the result into the destination register, in the Abstract.

Art Unit: 2186

- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Sato and Philipp before him at the time the invention was made to modify the memory system of Sato with the memory system of Philipp in order to load a random number into the resultant register in order to thwart a potential enemy eavesdropping on the register through cryptanalysis by observation of the register, as taught by Philipp in the abstract.
- 13. With respect to claim 7, Philipp teaches an integrated circuit as defined in claim 6, further comprising a source register coupled to provide data to the operator and a temporary register configured to store the data of the source register or the result of the operation, in col. 2, lines 24-57.
- 14. With respect to claim 8, Sato teaches an integrated circuit as defined in claim 7, wherein the control circuit is further configured to load a random or pseudo-random number into the temporary register, in the abstract. Since the temporary register stores the result of the operation, as does the destination register, it would be obvious to write the secret quantity into the temporary register as well, for the reasons listed above in the rejection of claim 1.
- 15. With respect to claim 9, Sato teaches an integrated circuit as defined in claim 7, wherein the control circuit is configured to load a random or pseudo-random number into the temporary register, to load a random or pseudo-random number into the destination register and to transfer the result of the operation from the temporary register to the destination register, in the abstract. Since the temporary register stores the result of the operation, as does the destination register, it would be obvious to write

Art Unit: 2186

the secret quantity into the temporary register as well, for the reasons listed above in the rejection of claim 1. Philipp resolves the only deficiency, namely transferring the result of the operation into the temporary register before writing to the destination register.

- 16. With respect to claim 10, Sato teaches an integrated circuit as defined in claim 7, wherein the control circuit is configured to load a random or pseudo-random number into the temporary register, to transfer data from the source register to the temporary register, to load a random or pseudo-random number into the destination register and to transfer the result of the operation to the destination register, in the abstract. Since the temporary register stores the result of the operation, as does the destination register, it would be obvious to write the secret quantity into the temporary register as well, for the reasons listed above in the rejection of claim 1. Philipp resolves the only deficiency, namely transferring the result of the operation into the temporary register before writing to the destination register.
- 17. With respect to claim 11, Sato teaches an integrated circuit as defined in claim 6, wherein the destination register is a source register for a second operator, in col.13, lines 47-60.
- 18. With respect to claim 12, Sato teaches a destination register to receive a result of an operation, in col. 2, lines 24-57, but fails to teach randomizing the content of the destination register before transferring a result involving a secret quantity. Philipp teaches this in the Abstract.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/776,416

Art Unit: 2186

- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Sato and Philipp before him at the time the invention was made to modify the memory system of Sato with the memory system of Philipp in order to load a random number into the resultant register in order to thwart a potential enemy eavesdropping on the register through cryptanalysis by observation of the register, as taught by Philipp in the abstract.
- 20. With respect to claim 13, Philipp teaches an antifraud method as defined in claim 12, wherein randomizing the content of a destination register comprises loading a random or pseudo-random number into the destination register, in the abstract.
- 21. With respect to claim 14, Sato teaches an antifraud method as defined in claim 12, further comprising transferring the result of the operation to a temporary register before loading the result into the destination register, in col. 2, lines 24-57.
- 22. With respect to claims 15-17, Applicant claims a method that corresponds to the circuit of claims 9-11 and are rejected using similar logic.
- 23. With respect to claim 18, Applicant claims a method that combines claims 12-14 and is rejected using similar logic.
- 24. With respect to claims 19-20, Applicant claims a method that corresponds to claims 15-16 (and therefore 9-10) and are rejected using similar logic.

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to

Art Unit: 2186

consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited

therein teach similar memory systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ryan Dare whose telephone number is (571)272-4069.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan A. Dare

September 27, 2006

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100